

SEELEY LAKE MISSOULA COUNTY SEWER DISTRICT
Special Board Meeting with Missoula County
April 19, 2011

Attendance:

Glen Morin	President	PRESENT	Mike Lindemer	Director	PRESENT
Scott Smith	Vice-President	ABSENT	Mike Boltz	Director	ABSENT
Bob Skiles	Director	PRESENT	Felicity Derry	Secretary	PRESENT

Public Attendance listed in Appendix A

OPENING:

The meeting was called to order at 2:06pm by Pat O’Herren, Rural Initiatives in Room 347, 200 W Broadway, Missoula.

Pat O’Herren asked for questions. Mike Lindemer asked if Seeley would have the same outcome that Lolo had. Greg Robertson explained that the Lolo Wastewater Plant was established in 1970 through a rural special improvement District. It is owned by Missoula County. In the middle 1970s an advisory board was established to conduct the day-to-day affairs of the District on behalf of the County Commissioners. This continued until around 2000 when he was hired to assume responsibility of the day-to-day operations. Since that point they became a purely advisory capacity. In the attorney’s opinion the Commissioners could not legally convey responsibilities vested with them by state law. Since 2000 he had been in consortium with the certified operators running the plant. They were implementing the Capital Facilities Plan that was implemented by the commissioners as well as the advisory back in 1999. They were in Phase 2 of implementation of that plan and are now working on the upcoming new permit requirements that DEQ will be setting in 2012. Because of the TMDL that is being established on the Bitterroot River our restrictions of discharge will be very restricted. To the point where they have to move to more advanced technology than the plant has available now. The plant is a standard conventional technology treatment plant that deals with secondary treatment. The outflow BOD and TSS are below 10mg/l. The plant does function effectively. However the additional restrictions will push those levels even lower. One of the tasks they have to deal with is nutrients. All of the point sources along the Bitterroot River have those issues. They are going to be pushing the limits of technology. The best available treatment that money can buy is what they are being forced in to or they would be forced to pull out of the river. Currently planning a \$5 million upgrade to that plant facility. There is also a water system that the County owns and manages that has approximately 1200 connections for both water and sewer.

Jean asked what the impetus was to build the sewer in Lolo. Greg Robertson replied that it was affiliated with a large subdivision called West View Subdivision. It was formed about the same time that the district was formed. Implementation of it happened about the same time as the subdivision was developing out. It was a matter of accommodating growth.

Jean Curtis noted Mike Lindemer’s question as to whether the County sees Seeley as becoming a County system. She said that would depend on the Board. That is not their goal. They wanted it to be the District’s system. Unfortunately they had inherited some sewer and water systems from around the County and they prefer not to be in that business. Their goal was to try to make the

District's Board reach their goal. Sometimes things happen and the County ends up taking things over, that was not their goal.

Don Ditty asked how often the pumps break down at that facility. Greg Robertston replied that there were three lift stations that pump effluent to the plant. The plant has a capacity 350,000 gallons per day. It currently flows at 220,000 gallons per day. There is some capacity still available in the plant. They are in compliance with the permits, but were ready to go out of compliance because of the new requirements that are starting. Bob Skiles suggested that he had asked about the failure rate of pumps in the system. Greg Robertston replied that they have a preventative maintenance schedule for replacement of pumps there is also redundancy in each of the wells so that if one fails another starts while the first is being replaced. Redundancy is common practice in sewer systems.

Mike Lindemer asked what kinds of system was the Lolo plant. Greg Robertson replied that it was a full secondary treatment. They will be going to an MBR treatment for several reasons. One of which was for land application reasons if they are forced out of the river because of permitting requirements. Clearly that is the direction that DEQ is going. There are two types of sources, non-point and point source. Point source is a focused discharge coming out of a treatment plant. Non-point source are everything else, storm water, agriculture and personal septic tanks. The latter two are the biggest along the Bitterroot River that contribute to the nutrient loadings that DEQ is very concerned about (Phosphorus and Nitrogen). Phosphorus we can treat and meet the TMDL standard that is being proposed. Nitrogen is one that is difficult for everybody to do because the technology really is not where they are wanting us to go. Mike Lindemer asked how old the system is. Greg Robertson replied that parts of the collection system are 40 years old. The system was built in 1970 and it has gone through several plant upgrades. The last plant upgrade was done in 2003 and cost approximately \$2 million. The plant functions fine, what is evolving now is the permit requirements continue evolve and will continue to do so. Everybody in Western Montana is wrestling with how to deal with it. Jean Curtiss added that this plant discharges in to the river and the Seeley plant would not.

Bob Skiles asked which company they would be using for the MBR. Greg Robertson replied that he had researched plants of a similar size and capacity and each manufacturer has certain strengths and weaknesses. It depends whether you want to use the spaghetti filtration or plates. He was less impressed with the plates because of maintenance and cost replacement. They would probably prequalify based on the technology so that they get the most experience manufacturer and one that will stay around. He liked the spaghetti system better. They have a lot more redundancy, the functionality is excellent and replacement of the strands and the cassettes are a lot simpler so that a standard operator can do it with minimal effort.

Greg Robertson noted that he had read questions from the Board. They would be breaking new ground. The Lolo District is very different than how he viewed dealing with Seeley if they decide to go that route. Missoula County owns and maintains the Lolo collection system and plant because they are required to by State law. If you create a rural special improvement district it has to be owned and maintained by the creator of that district, which is in this case the County Commissioners. The Seeley Sewer District is a sovereign board. You have your own statutory authority. He viewed working with the Board as a partnership through an inter-local agreement. The agreement would answer all the questions that the Board has and put in a document that would be agreed to.

With regard to the question of termination typically inter-local agreements have termination language that. The decision-making belongs to the Board. The Board would decide what is or is not put in the agreement. The Board has to be satisfied with it, as does the County. They enter in to inter-local agreements often. They can be effective it depends on how much time the parties want to spend. The Public Works Department is willing to help out the District by providing any assistance that they can. He is a Civil Engineer with 25 years of experience in design, construction, in a wide variety of projects of varying size. He is registered in Montana and Wyoming as a professional engineer. He is competent to do what they need him to do. In discussions with the Commissioners and Dale Bickell there is clearly an interest on the part of the Commissioners to assist the District. His role as a Department Head is to fulfill the wishes of the Commissioners to the greatest extent that he can. He would like to bring the expertise of his department to help out the District. Whether it be needing equipment, survey work, design assistance, financial help, grant administration. The County really believes in what the Board is after. It is a moment in time. If it is not done right or something happens and it does not happen it would be a long time until that train comes around again. He has no ulterior motive or hidden agenda. He is willing to help out the Board. He does not have to, but is willing to. They need to establish that kind of trust relationship. If that is not there he did not know how they would be able to move on with the rest of their issues.

Randy Gordon asked why now when they had asked in the past for your assistance. We asked to loan \$100,000 and help with the survey work. In the last month you have decided that you want to help us, why? Jean Curtiss replied that Randy Gordon had been hired to do some of that stuff. At first we trusted that you were competent to do that and then reached the point that we did not think that you were. Randy Gordon said that you concluded that he was not doing the work without questioning any of the Board members and without asking any information what so ever. Jean Curtiss said that they did ask questions. They could see that the project would not make it without some help. They were there to help. The District would lose one grant. Randy Gordon said that was because that they talked the Board members into.... Jean Curtiss said that they were not ready to do it before that. They would not take a risk for County taxpayers unless we think we are going to succeed. They hope to help the project succeed. At the point that you were asking for help, you were not ready. Randy Gordon said that it was the Sewer District taking the risk.

Bob Skiles asked what they were not ready for. Jean Curtiss said that you were not ready to put things on the ballot. You were not ready to do some of the steps that you were asked to take. Bob Skiles asked why they thought the District would put something on the ballot. Jean Curtiss said that when they were up in Seeley they were going to put something on the ballot, the \$100,000. She thought they were better off to focus on whether or not they could go forward from this point in time. Bob Skiles said that they were not putting \$100,000 on the ballot. Jean Curtiss said that the Board said that was going to be on the May ballot. Randy Gordon said that was not going to be on a ballot. Bob Skiles said that was why it was out of control. The people are getting fed misinformation.

Pat O'Herren said that Bob Skiles point was well taken. The sense at the County level was that things are a bit out of control in Seeley Lake in terms of how the public perceived the project. They felt that there was not a lot of community trust that the project as it was being proposed would succeed. The County's concern has always been protecting the public's health, safety and welfare. Whether or not a sewer is needed is a separate issue. Their sense was that Seeley does need a sewer to protect its water quality and to continue with its economic growth, whatever that might be in the future. If this opportunity is missed then the train will not come around for quite some time. The concern was that the project seemed to be head for a train wreck. The County stepped in and said

that they sensed this from the community. It was not Walt Hill or Addrien Marx, it is other folks who write and call the commissioners, as well as statements that are made in community council meetings. There is a great sense of trepidation that the project would not succeed. The sense of the Commissioners is that there is another opportunity for the Board to consider. Something that might put you on the right track or at least overt the train wreck initially. The decision lies with the Board. The Commissioners have made the offer and here is what it would cost. The Board has some questions as to what it would entail and that is why we are here. Ultimately the Board votes on what to do.

Cindy Wulfkule said that she had the longest history of anybody in the room with the project. She got involved with it more than 20 years ago. They could not get people to respond to the income survey. It was a struggle to get the support of the community. Two surveys have been done since then. One of which her office lead the effort on at no cost to the District. There has been a lot of work and support over the years. Jean Curtiss said that they felt the project would not be successful was the point when they received correspondence or calls from people that supported the District and believed that Seeley needed a sewer and that you were doing the right thing, you had the right idea. Those supporters of the District were afraid that it would fail because they did not have the right information, it was not being presented in the right way. At the last meeting that the Commissioners attended it was very clear from the conversation that it was still confusing as to who would vote on what. That was when they discussed what they could do to help to make sure that it succeeds. Some of the Board has been there from the beginning. You have put in a great deal of time. It seemed that it was heading off the track. Cindy Wulfenkulh office has helped for years, Greg Robertson and Dori Brownlow has reviewed the information. They were trying to help the Board succeed with the project that they want for their community for their water quality and their future. We do not want to own it. We do not want to finance it. There were some things that happened in the community that were ticking off your supporters, we concerned that the if asked the people would say they would not support it because they did not have confidence.

Glen Morin said that he thought it was a great offer and wish it had happened sooner. They do not want to be blindsided if the County has a no hidden agenda. There was a lot of confusion. The biggest thing is that the community has a hard time supporting any growth or any economical development. The offer is great. He would like to go through the questions.

Greg Robertson spoke with regard to question from the Board regarding a developer in Lolo. The Lolo advisory board and the County Commissioner were aware and approved the connection of the Lolo Creek Trails subdivision to the district system. Back in 1999 the County and the board of advisors adopted a service area boundary. Lolo Creek Trails subdivision was within that boundary. The advisory board decided that if property were located within the boundary the County would agree to service it. That was also a commitment made to the Director of DEQ. Lolo Creek Trails extended about 2.5 miles of infrastructure that was a large expense to the developer to connect it up to the nearest sewer connection. That has also allowed a number of businesses to connect to the system. Glen Morin asked if the people outside of the district wanted to annex in all the properties in between had to agree to be annexed in also. The people in the middle did not want to be annexed in and some money was given so that they could get the sewer. Both Jean Curtiss and Greg Robertson said that did not happen. Greg Robertson said that they do not hold a gun to anybody's head that does not want district sewer and water service. They join by petition of inclusion. Nobody is forced in because they are adjacent to the sewer unless there is a health reason. Anybody that had been hooked up to Lolo Creek Trails has been voluntary

Don Ditty said that he felt there had not been enough studies to prove that the location is able to hold the volume of the effluent from the treatment plant. There are petitions questioning if it is the correct location and if the ground would hold that volume of effluent. Pat O'Herren said that it was a great question of a technical nature that they would hold until the Board had made a decision on how it would proceed.

Pat O'Herren noted that the first two questions to Greg Robertson. Greg Robertson said that with regard to grants the Board was considered a governing body and under that pretext are eligible and have received TSEP funds. Under RRLG program one entity could submit multiple projects. He did not see a conflict of interests. The County has supported the District's applications in the past and he would see that relationship continuing. They did not have anything on the books for the next cycle of TSEP. The Lolo District residents do not get charged enough to qualify for the TSEP program and do not meet target rate.

Pat O'Herren referred to if grant writing would be part of the County's offer. Greg Robertson said that it depended on the grant source. STAG and WRDA were pretty simple. The TSEP program required a PER, which in his judgment the District did not have a valid PER without some updates to include the new location. Great West had been doing the engineering work he would foresee that continuing. The County could assist with the application and doing the necessary politicking. It would be a question of the grant source and the level of effort required. The PER work would be suitable for Great West Engineering to do. They could help out with the grant sources in any way they could.

Pat O'Herren asked how much time he would allot and would it continue through the construction phase. Greg Robertson replied that it was a question for the interlocal agreement. He would dedicate the necessary time and effort to make the project successful. He would shuffle some of his duties within his department. Scott Smith asked how many member of staff did he have. Greg Robertson replied that he had 75 employees. A lot are Road Department, there are 4 licensed surveyors and 2 in training and 2 licensed engineers. Pat O'Herren asked if that would continue through construction. Greg Robertson replied that was a question for the Board. The County was not telling the Board what to do. It is the Board's decision. He sensed obvious animosity, or a hidden agenda thing. That needed to be put aside and focus on what the issue was. Whether the Board wanted to do this. If the Board wanted help he was willing to help.

Scott Smith said that he had never seen an inter-local agreement and asked Dori Brownlow if she had a copy of an inter-local agreement that the Board to review. Dori Brownlow replied that she could draft one and then have both parties review it. It was basically a contract.

Don Ditty asked with the County involvement would the failures of the system be on the District's shoulders or would the County be involved with any failures. Greg Robertson replied that a well-designed system would minimize failures. There would be redundancy and all of the rules are set up to prevent failures. Or if there is a failure you have a contingency plan that would avert illicit discharges. The District is responsible for operation and maintenance of the facility and the liability resides with them. Don Ditty asked if the District would have to have a certified operator. Greg Robertson said that they would. The technology is very sophisticated.

Shelly Akane said that in Lincoln and Big Fork, which have similar terrain as Seeley they had disasters with sewage backing up in houses. Don Ditty knew the problem in the local area for handling a system like that. Pat O'Herren said that those were the issues that would have to be

addressed as the project went on.

Pat O'Herren referred to the question if dissolving the inter-local agreement would effect the repayment of the loan. Dale Bickell noted that Randy Gordon had asked the question why now. The Commissioners are willing to grant the loan of \$110,000 as part of the matching funds. If the project were unsuccessful the County would eat loan. The hope is that the project would be successful and it would be rolled in to the bond financing and would be repaid.

Pat O'Herren asked how would Greg Robertson manage the project, the board meetings, communication, meeting with the public and Great West Engineering. Greg Robertson said that his current office is west of the airport. Last year they opened an office in Seeley Lake for building codes at the old Plum Creek office north of town. It is staffed a few hours a day for building code questions. It has been expanded to the clerk and recorder activities. He hired a staff person and is helping with the Refuse District and the road crew. He presumed that he would offer her services in addition to his own to provide space. He would foresee it being the Sewer District office. It is available and inexpensive. That would be played by ear. He would make himself available until things get rolling. In the case of the Seeley Lake Refuse District they do not have the same statutory sites that the District have. Their powers were taken away by the legislature in 2009. They started off up there routinely for monthly meetings. They were now switching to quarterly meeting. Their meetings are now very short discussing the budget and what they want to see the County do. They give direction to him in a policy matter and let him figure out how to implement it. If you talk to them they are satisfied with the arrangement. The same thing could happen here once they get to know each other and get some miles under our belt. For the interim he would do it on a monthly or bimonthly basis until things are up and going and the Board develops a comfort level with him and visa versa. The space could be made available for records there would be people there. He gets up to Seeley Lake as often as he can.

Great West Engineering (GWE) has a lot of history with the District and they are a good firm. He would have no issues going to Helena to meet with GWE, them coming to Missoula or meeting half way. Whatever is necessary to make it work. With the way technology exchange files, a lot can be handled over the phone or via email. Sometimes face-to-face makes more sense. He would want to spend some time getting to know the people that the District has been dealing with and getting up to speed with them. After that play it by ear. Travelling is expensive for GWE and that could be minimized as much as possible.

Pat O'Herren summarized that Greg Robertson and staff would be available to meet the public in Seeley Lake. Files would be in both Seeley Lake and Missoula. How long the management agreement for \$1 per year would last is a decision for the Board in consultation with the County in the inter-local agreement.

Pat O'Herren said that the Board wanted to make sure that the County would not strong-arm them. He was not sure how the Board wanted them to respond to that. No was not sufficient. Something was spurring that question.

Randy Gordon referred back to communication with Greg Robertson. The only communication that he had with Greg Robertson was when the County Commissioners asked him to give him some files. He took copies of the files and PER and gave them to him. He backed that up with an email stating that they information was old and the facts had changed. He asked for a meeting with Greg Robertson to explain those things. He emailed back that he was aware that was old information he

would review the information and set up a meeting. When the Commissioners came to Missoula they accused him of giving Greg Robertson old information and did not tell him that. Is that kind of communication going to change if the Board goes forward with this agreement? He had also told Pat O'Herren the same information. All of this got misconstrued. It came back at the meeting where the county Commissioners and Greg Robertson said that he gave them bad information and they spend hours reviewing old information without him being forthright. Why did that happen?

Pat O'Herren said that referred to a communication issue between Randy Gordon and staff that he would be happy to meet with him outside of this room. It needed to be resolved but was not the reason for today's meeting. In terms of what would communications be like in the future you have heard a commitment from the commissioners, Greg Robertson, Dale Bickell, Cindy Wulfekule and his office that they would be responsive as they have been since the offer first came up. In his 30 year experience they were not going to find a more reliable set of Commissioners and staff than you have the opportunity to work with here.

Pat O'Herren questioned if the Board was sensing that the County wants to have a Missoula County sewer in Seeley Lake? In spite of what Greg Robertson said that they did not want those kinds of projects. Is that what is driving that question? Scott Smith said in 1996 there was a study done for the County and it included Seeley Lake. At that time they discovered that the groundwater contamination levels were above reasonable levels. Still the County continued to issue building permits. As a District we discovered that, it was addressed at the County and at that time the County decided that they should get involved and offered help. There is some paranoia associated with that. Why are we paranoid about that? We are trying to address this. We discovered this and suddenly we are offered help.

Greg Robertson said that he thought the study they were referring to a 1996 water quality study that was performed by the City/County Health Department. That formed a basis for connections to the city's centralized plant. City service of sewer and annexation are tied together. It has been a long ongoing issue with County residents who do not want to receive city services. He encountered that loud and clear when they were doing the Mullin Road sewer project. They put in 70,000' of pipe connecting several subdivisions. The central theme with County residents was the issue of city annexation as a condition for providing sewer service. They were not party to that discussion other than they bore the brunt of abuse from County residents. The water quality study determined that several areas were exhibiting water quality problems, failed systems, in the Mullin Road corridor area. One area was under an enforcement order with the EPA to get it connected. There were three other subdivisions that exhibited that same thing. They had health code violations or had failing systems that connecting to the City's centralized system would fix. With the Rattlesnake that was the document that was used for annexation and City sewer is a tool for annexation. Anybody that has been involved with that is required to sign a contract sewer agreement, this is nothing more than a waiver of your right to protest annexation. He did not think that had anything to do with Seeley Lake. The City has not announced that they are annexing Seeley Lake yet.

Scott Smith said that his view was that if the County had information that the water quality was below standard, why would the County continue to issue building permits. Greg Robertson replied that they were not building permits because they did not come into existence until 2005. He was referring to septic tank permits that is a health code issue, which he could not address. He would have to talk to Jim Carlson, the head of Environmental Health. Scott Smith said that it almost appears that we have discovered something that we were not supposed to and now there is paranoia

by the Commissioners.

Pat O'Herren said Bob Skiles had brought this to his attention. He talked to Jim Carlson he is aware of no agreement that would require the Department of Health to notify the Sewer Board when a septic permit was being issued. In terms of issuing additional permits, each permit they issued theoretically would improve water quality, because what comes out of a successfully treated system would not damage water quality in Seeley. He could give you the details as to why that is. The County's concern is the septic tanks that are not up to code. The County sees this as an opportunity to assist Seeley in remedying that problem. Where you were heading might result in that not happening. So there was an additional offer of assistance. The County is truly concerned about protecting water quality in Seeley Lake, in part because it is an important thing to do, but also because if this community is to grow and prosper the County believes that you need a sewer system. The County would like to help assure that happens. Scott Smith questioned that the County did agree that there was a water quality issue. Pat O'Herren replied that the Environmental Health, Public Works, Rural Initiatives and the County Commissioners have said there is a problem that needs to be fixed.

Pat O'Herren opened the meeting questions from the audience.

Caloline Jenkins, Pathfinder asked the Board to please consider doing two informational meetings. One on the site location with a full disclosure of the studies that have been done on the on site and why that site was selected. Similar to the presentation that Craig Pozega, GWE did recently. It was helpful to improve people's trust levels. The second meeting regarding funding. What grants will cover, what they will not cover, what is the potential for including the hook-ups under the cost of the project and allowing people to ask the questions that they have.

Walt Hill said that he wanted to thank the Board for all that they had done, are doing and will do. He had never been against, and had been an advisor for several years. He had been to many meetings and had tried to be helpful. If they were to look at the records the transfer from a lagoon system to a SBR was at his suggestion. His questions have been almost totally financial. There has been a cloud on the financial from day one on the PER. The funding for the project is very important to the residents of Seeley Lake. At the hearing he asked Craig Pozega, GWE were the numbers solid numbers. The numbers that were given were for phase 1 and not for the whole system. He had been trying for a couple of years to find out how much would the sewer system cost. The only reason he asked those questions was not to demean anybody. He would like to find ways and means to get the money other than taxing the people in the Sewer District. He has yet to get a solid cost. The numbers he had heard were between \$10-20 million. There was an issue at the hearing that there was to be a vote. We had to have this so we knew how much to borrow. We were going after the \$100,000. This was the impression that he got. He was trying to clarify the financial information. Sorry if he felt that he was demeaning Randy Gordon, or anybody. That was not his purpose. His purpose was to try to find numbers.

Randy Gordon said that he was listening to that meeting on the phone. He said that Craig Pozega was confused. We were giving a cost for Phase 1 treatment plant collection system while giving a cost for the whole project. So we give all the residents of the town the full information. If we were to phase it there was almost \$5 million of extra costs. There is a number for Phase 1 and the whole thing. The Board has it. Caroline Jenkins, Pathfinder said that it was given at the March 22, 2011 meeting. Walt Hill said that he was not at that meeting. He had asked if the figure was forthcoming and he was told that it was just Phase I. Randy Gordon said that there was a misunderstanding that

had been cleared up.

Randy Gordon said that all of the meetings have been open and public. Anybody could come to any of the meeting and ask those questions. Walt Hill wanted to clarify the record and point out that he was on your side and was looking for numbers because the biggest problem with the sewer in Seeley Lake is financial. The people are afraid that they will be charged however much for their sewer. He had been trying to find private grants or mechanisms. Is there a way that the people outside of the District could help pay for the sewer

Dori Brownlow said that with any contract there would be lots of terms that would go back and forth on. That would be the process. If the Board decided to go forward that would be worked on until we reach an agreement. She would be happy to put that together as a work in progress. Greg Robertson said that an inter-local agreement could take quite a while until it got to the point where everybody was happy with it.

Pat O'Herren said that he would forward any questions that stemmed from this meeting. The offer does not expire. It is truly open ended. It is what the Board decides they need to complete their project.

Don Ditty it does not take a genius to figure out the amount of people that live in Seeley Lake the price of the sewer will be exorbitant. They could not afford it. When it costs \$100-150 rate on people that cannot afford it, they will be hurting. Also he was still not happy with the location of the plant.

Mike Lindemer said that he was a new Board member. A great deal of research had been done around Seeley Lake to find a location that would make it feasible and economical for everybody. That location is the best location. There is nothing else out there. They were doing tests and had not made 100% decision that would be the location. They might not be able to use that site. Also moving the plant from the airport to the current location saved \$4-5 million. Once again, we as a board will not push the button until feel it is affordable to everybody.

Glen Morin said that he appreciated the meeting and he would talk to Greg Robertson some more. The Board meeting was on Thursday and they would have a better idea of the direction they would be going after that. There may be some more questions. He appreciated their time and effort.


Mike Lindemer asked if three Board members could sit down and have a cup of coffee to discuss things. Dori Brownlow said that no that if there is a quorum you are holding a meeting. Mike Lindemer said that it was difficult to make things move along unless we have meetings all of the time. If you do not attend all the meetings then we have to go backward again and waste our time by making these decisions. He was glad to see you at the meetings.

NEXT REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING: April 21, 2011

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 3:25pm.

Attest:


Glen Morin, President


Felicity Derry, Secretary

APPENDIX A

Seeley Lake Sewer Board
 April 19, 2011
 Sign In

Name	Email
P. O'HERRON	poheron@co.missoula.mt.us
Jeff Seaton	JSEATON@CO.MISSOULA.MT.US
Walter Hill	Walter.hill@umontana.edu
Scott Smith	Scott.Smith@unitedlocating.com
Robert Skiles	SSRINC@BlackFoot.net
Glenn Mari	Glenns AUTO@BLACKFOOT.NET
Melinda Redman	LINDEYS@BLACKFOOT.NET
Jean CURTIS	
Donald Ditty	seeley lake
Shel Akane	Seeley Lake Sewer Dept
Gregory Robertson	groberts@co.missoula.mt.us
Dori Brownlow	dbrownlow@co.missoula.mt.us
Caroline Jenkins	pathfinder@seeleylake.com
Cindy Wulfkuhle	cwulfku@co.missoula.mt.us

S. AKANE

Dale Bickell
 Jean Hart
 Felicity Derrin

Missoula County
 jharte@co.missoula.mt.us
 Seeley Sewer